

REPUBLIC OF RWANDA



Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

# DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

FY  
2014  
2015

## **ABSTRACT**

ASSESSING THE QUALITY OF OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE TO RWANDA

Kigali, Rwanda



**CONTENTS**

Introduction.....1

Aggregate DPAF Results in FY14/15.....4

Performance of individual partners.....6

ContactInformation.....13

Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning.....13

## INTRODUCTION

### BACKGROUND

The Donor Performance Assessment Framework for FY2014/2015 assesses the performance of development partners (DPs) and the GoR against 14 indicators from the DPAF as per Table 0-1 below. This is done by analyzing the USD 905 million of ODA disbursed by donors in the past fiscal year.

Two out of the 14 indicators measure the performance of GoR institutions, namely indicators A1 on per cent ODA recorded in the national budget and B5 on per cent ODA disbursed for the public sector that is recorded in the GoR systems. The remainder of the indicators are focused on the performance of DPs in the delivery of quality aid. Two groups of indicators are not monitored in FY14/15. These are the indicators in relation to reduction of transaction costs and adoption of harmonized approaches (D1-D3) and indicators F1-F4 stemming from the Busan Partnership Agreement. In the case of the first group of indicators, no reliable data is provided by partners and therefore it was agreed to discontinue assessing them. In the case of the second group, the evaluation of performance will be done through the Second Round of Monitoring of the Global Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation (GPEDC), which is also covering FY14/15 and the results of which will be available in the course of 2016.

As per the usual practice, the main source of the data used for this report is the Development Assistance Database (<https://dad.minecofin.gov.rw>) in which donors report on the performance of their portfolio. Other sources of data include the national budget for indicator A1 (aid on budget) and the national accounts for indicator B5 (% of ODA recorded in the GoR systems) maintained by the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning (MINECOFIN).

Table 01: List of DPAF indicators in FY14/15

Results area	Indicator No.	Description
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and vision 2020	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems
	B5	% ODA disbursed that is recorded in the GoR systems
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR FY
	C3	% of ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled
D. Reduction of transaction costs and harmonized approaches	D1-D3	Not monitored due to lack of reliable data
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor as per the DoL (aggregate and individual DPs)
	E5	% of their total aid portfolio allocated to the 3 DoL sectors
F. Select Busan Partnership Agreement Indicators	F1-F4	Busan indicators 1 (alignment with national dev. Frameworks), 7 (mutual accountability), 8 (gender equality), and 3 (private sector engagement in development) - to be monitored biannually through the GPEDC monitoring exercises
G. Budget support	G1	Donors informing the GoR of the anticipated volume of SBS over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question
	G3	% of SBS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year
	G4	% of SBS disbursed within the first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled

## STRATEGIC HIGHLIGHTS

The aggregate performance against the DPAF indicators in FY14/2015 does not depart significantly from the one in the previous fiscal year, i.e. the downward trend in the use of country systems continues along with worsening mid-term predictability for project finance.

The performance of partners meets the requirements and targets set for three indicators out of the 14 monitored. These are: i) in-year predictability (indicator C3), ii) mid-term predictability for Sector Budget Support providers (indicator G1), and iii) the % of aid allocated to the 3 DoL sectors in the portfolio (indicator E5).

For the remainder of the indicators, the aggregate performance falls short of the requirements and targets for delivering aid effectively and in the case of indicators A1 and B5 for proper recording of this aid in the budget and the national accounts.

This downward trend demonstrates that despite the existence of monitoring and mutual accountability frameworks, the incentives for change in individual and collective behavior are not sufficient enough to prompt such shifts in the delivery of aid. The shift in the used aid modalities over the past two fiscal years with predominant use of project finance also does not help with the attainment of key indicators on the use of country systems or improved aid predictability given the challenges encountered in the delivery of this aid modality related to absorption capacity and/or procedural hurdles in procurement processes, among others.

## LOOKING AHEAD

The DPAF is in its sixth year of implementation (the baseline year is FY2009/2010) and will undergo a revision in the course of 2016 to ensure that it stays in tune with the development effectiveness and financing for development frameworks, namely the Busan Partnership Agreement Monitoring Framework, the Addis Ababa Action Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals.

Certain indicators, however, as agreed in the 2016 Development Partners Retreat will continue to be at the core of the assessment. These are the indicators in results areas A, B, C, E and G.

A new set of indicators will be added to capture the “beyond aid” dimensions of cooperation between GoR and DPs in the areas of trade, taxation, private financial flows (FDI, PPPs, remittances, philanthropy flows), technology transfer and climate change finance.

Our hope is that this will give a renewed impetus to strengthen and broaden the collaboration with our partners and open new avenues for improving the results of development aid and other financial flows in Rwanda.

Claver Gatete  
Minister of Finance and Economic Planning

## AGGREGATE DPAF RESULTS IN FY14/15

As mentioned in the introduction, the targets of only 3 out of the 14 DPAF indicators were met by all DPs. Short-term predictability even exceeds the target of 90% by 6% marking the best performance on this indicator in the previous 3 fiscal years. Similarly, the allocation of aid to the DoL sectors exceeds the target of 75% by 12% also marking the best performance for indicator E5 so far. Mid-term predictability of sector budget support through the provision of regular updates to MINECOFIN for the MTEF continue to be in line with the requirements of indicator G1.

The indicators that are most affected by a downward trend are those related to the use of country systems, which is in contrast with the overall favorable Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability Assessment for Rwanda in 2015. All country systems indicators B1-B4 with the exception of indicator B5 on % of ODA recorded in the GoR systems record the lowest levels since the DPAF's inception. This is due to the predominant use of project finance and also corrections in the reporting on the use of aid modalities and country systems<sup>1</sup>.

Indicator B1 on the use of national budget execution procedures stands at 49% compared to its target of 59% and last year's 53%. The use of GoR auditing procedures (indicator B2) and financial reporting systems (indicator B3) are each at 54%. While the use of GoR procurement systems is at 60% with a target of 69%.

If the definitions on the use of country systems are applied *stricto sensu* the scores would be even lower across all DPs due to various provisions in the respective cooperation agreements, which, for example, require additional financial reports different than the ones prepared by national institutions as part of budget execution monitoring or additional procurement steps (e.g. non-objection clauses) to the national procurement procedures, or make provisions for supplementary audits or audits by institutions chosen by the partner.

The GoR has made an improvement compared to the past fiscal year in the recording of externally financed projects in the national systems. Indicator B5 has jumped from 64% to 54% in FY14/15 still falling below the target of 90%. The recording of aid on budget for those institutions that are also reporting in the DAD has improved compared to last year. Some 72% of the disbursed donor funds were recorded in the revised budget compared to 64% in the previous FY, however this improvement still does not meet the target of 85% for indicator A1.

The Division of Labor indicator E1 on the average number of sectors per donor stands at 3.8 sectors, which is the highest score since the DoL monitoring began in FY11/12.

The indicators on the frontloading of sector budget support and other flexible modalities G3 (first quarter of the GoR FY) and G4 (first two quarters of the GoR FY) are both falling short of their respective targets of 50% and 100% respectively. Only 13% of SBS was disbursed in the first quarter of FY14/15 - the lowest score compared to the past three fiscal years. The disbursements did come through in the second quarter of the year -85% of them.

---

<sup>1</sup> In the past FY some institutions reported mistakenly project finance as SBS and also use of country systems when the assistance was project finance.

## PERFORMANCE OF INDIVIDUAL PARTNERS

### AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK<sup>2</sup>

- The AfDB disbursed USD 78.47 million in the course of FY14/15. In terms of indicator A1 aid on budget, RWF 68,643,859,978 were recorded on budget. In the case of indicator A2 (% of ODA delivered by GoR agencies), the AfDB's portfolio was at 92% in FY14/15, which exceeds the target of 85% and the Bank's performance in FY13/14 when it scored 78%.
- The Bank maintains the trend of exceeding the targets for the use of country systems at 94% for indicators B1 – B4. With regard to indicator B5 (% of ODA recorded in the GoR systems) compared with the past fiscal year there is a 18% increase compared to last year, which is the baseline year for this indicator. It stands at 80% compared to 62% in FY13/14 and a target of 90%.
- Short-term predictability stands at 88% for the ADB in FY14/15 lower than the target of 98% for indicator C3. Moreover, a number of projects have been recorded in the DAD with an equal disbursement and commitments amounts, which skews the result for this indicator.
- The Bank meets the criteria of indicator C2 (mid-term predictability of projects) and G1 (mid-term predictability of Sector Budget Support).
- With regard to the DoL (indicator E1), the AfDB disbursed funds in three sectors outside its DoL ones, namely Education, Agriculture and Environment and Natural Resources. It exceeds, however, the requirement of having more than 75% of its funding disbursed for the DoL sectors by 15%, which also marks an improvement compared to the past fiscal year (87%).
- Concerning the timeliness of the provision of Sector Budget Support, the Bank missed the target for indicator G3 of frontloading of 50% of its SBS in the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year. However, all disbursements fell within the second quarter of FY14/15 thus meeting the target for indicator G4.

---

<sup>2</sup> In the case of the AfDB, data had to be corrected manually since there were numerous error entries in the Development Assistance Database.

Donor code: XAD

**INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - AFDB**  
**Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.**

Results Area	Indicator		FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	41,766,731,634		64,825,245,521		49,864,951,549		72,818,094,384		68,810,687,260
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	71%	85%	71%	85%	66%	85%	60%	85%	71%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	100%	85%	94%	85%	90%	85%	70%	85%	92%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	15%	50%	68%	50%	100%	59%	100%	59%	94%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	82%	54%	100%	54%	100%	59%	100%	59%	94%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	82%	67%	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%	67%	94%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	82%	69%	100%	69%	100%	69%	100%	69%	94%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	67%	90%	60%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		baseline	TBD	baseline	TBD		100%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	60%	98%	112%	98%	100%	98%	99%	98%	60%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	100%	55%	100%	55%	N/A - No missions	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	N/A - No analytic work	86%	N/A - No analytic work	86%	100%	87%	100%	87%	No analytical work reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	85%	70%	83%	75%	87%	75%	90%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	0%	50%	64%	50%	60%	50%	6%	50%	0%
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	0%	100%	64%	100%	100%	100%	6%	100%	100%
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality SBS MoUs ? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		N/A		N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	baseline	64%	baseline			N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data completed by AFDB.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## BELGIUM

- Belgium disbursed USD 25.49 million in FY14/15 for the GoR sector. With regard to ODA on budget (indicator A1), there is a 20% increase in the volume of projects recorded in the national budget for FY2014/2015 compared to the past fiscal year. This still falls short by 5% from the target for indicator A1. Similarly, there is a significant increase in FY14/15 – 43% in the proper recording of Belgium’s projects in the GoR systems from FY13/14, which was the baseline year.
- On the downward trend, there is a 5% decrease in the use of GoR agencies as first level implementers (indicator A2) compared to the past fiscal year.
- In the course of FY14/15, Belgium registered as well a 5% decrease in the use of GoR budget execution and auditing procedures (indicators B1 and B2). The use of the national procurement systems is at 97% and continues to surpass the target for this indicator, which is 69%.
- Both aid predictability indicators (short- and medium-term) have been fully met.
- Belgium has reduced from 6 to 4 the number of sectors it is active in FY14/15 compared to its allocated sectors as per the Division of Labor agreement. Belgium’s DoL sectors are health, energy and decentralized governance, while in FY14/15 it was also active in the agriculture sector. In terms of per cent of aid allocated to the three DoL sectors, the figure is 86% well above the target of 75% for indicator E5.
- Regarding sector budget support, indicator G3, i.e. front loading of funds within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year was met in the course of FY14/15 similar to the past 4 years of DPAF monitoring. However, disbursements have been made within the second quarter of the GoR fiscal year, meeting fully the target for indicator G4.

Donor code: BEL

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - BELGIUM

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Summary: 9 out of a total of 18 targets established for this donor in 2013 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator			FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	17,533,380,571		18,575,321,951		9,837,291,092		17,503,624,018		13,673,819,048
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	44%	85%	70%	85%	30%	85%	30%	85%	30%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	77%	85%	74%	85%	6%	85%	39%	85%	44%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	77%	50%	74%	50%	4%	59%	37%	59%	41%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	77%	54%	74%	54%	0%	59%	37%	59%	44%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	77%	67%	74%	67%	0%	67%	33%	67%	44%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	100%	69%	98%	69%	93%	69%	100%	69%	97%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	30%	90%	30%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		baseline	TBD	baseline	TBD		67%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	83%	98%	30%	98%	122%	98%	106%	98%	98%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	100%	55%	100%	55%	N/A - No missions	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	N/A - No analytic work	86%	100%	86%	100%	87%	No analytic work Reported	87%	No analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	67%	70%	67%	75%	75%	75%	66%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A		N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	baseline	0%	baseline			N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data completed by BELGIUM.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## EUROPEAN UNION

- The EU's development assistance to the public sector stood at USD 50.81 million in FY14.15. Most of it was recorded on budget in FY14/15, i.e. 93% above the target of 85% for indicator A1 and also reported on in the GoR systems (98% for indicator B5). The GoR institutions are largely the first level implementers of these projects (95% for indicator A2).
- In FY14/15, the EU recorded lower use of the national public financial management and procurement systems down to 65% from the past fiscal year's 74% and in both cases above the target of 59%.
- Performance against the predictability indicators for projects and Sector Budget Support meets fully the targets for indicators C2, C3 and G1. This is due to the EU reporting in the Development Assistance Database the same commitment and disbursement figures across its portfolio, which undermines the accuracy of the targets being met.
- In FY14/15, the EU operated in 5 sectors. All aid was allocated to the DoL sectors (indicator E5).
- With regard to Sector Budget Support, the EU has not met indicator G3 on disbursing the committed funds in the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year. However, it met fully the requirement to disburse SBS by the second quarter of the GoR fiscal year.

Donor code: XEC

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Summary: 14 out of a total of 17 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator		FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 13/14 Target	FY 14/15 Actual	
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	55,275,391,733		49,637,664,775		50,395,924,740		68,945,636,582	36,506,556,014	
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	77%	85%	95%	85%	84%	85%	89%	85%	93%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	99%	85%	94%	85%	86%	85%	96%	85%	95%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	87%	50%	70%	50%	67%	59%	74%	59%	65%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	87%	54%	70%	54%	67%	59%	74%	59%	65%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	87%	67%	70%	67%	67%	67%	74%	67%	67%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	89%	69%	71%	69%	67%	69%	74%	69%	67%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	97%	90%	98%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		100%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	91%	98%	100%	98%	100%	98%	100%	98%	100%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	100%	55%	N/A - No missions	55%	N/A - No missions	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	60%	86%	N/A - No analytic work	86%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	74%	70%	94%	75%	99%	75%	99%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	67%	50%	90%	50%	67%	50%	71%	50%	67%
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	Base-line	90%	Base-line		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by EUROPEAN COMMISSION.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## GERMANY

- Germany disbursed USD 35.83 million in FY14/15 of which only 60% was recorded in the national budget for FY14/15 down from 68% in the past fiscal year. Slightly more than half of German aid was recorded in the GoR systems (indicator B5), which marks a 10% decline since the baseline fiscal year 13/14. One of the indicators that has been improved is the use of GoR agencies as first level implementers of projects for the public sector. It moved upwards from 49% to 57% in FY14/15 (still below the target of 85% for indicator A2).
- Similar to other development partners, Germany recorded much lower use of the national PFM and procurement systems (indicators B1-B4) in the FY14/15. This represents a drop of 20% for the use of budget execution procedures and 15-16% for the use of GoR reporting and procurement systems.
- With regard to short-term predictability(indicator C3),Germany improved its performance by 25% moving from 56% in FY13/14 to 81% in FY14/15 (below the target of 98%). Mid-term predictability requirements have been met both for project and Sector Budget Support (indicators C3 and G1).
- Germany has recorded projects in 4 sectors in FY14/15. In terms of funding, 95% of all projects for the public sector are allocated to the agreed DoL sectors.

Donor code: DEU

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - GERMANY

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Summary: 9 out of a total of 19 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator		FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	12,090,114,378		15,690,871,131		9,360,066,657		16,400,500,954	14,404,451,671
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	87%	85%	75%	85%	80%	85%	80%	85%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	80%	85%	82%	85%	80%	85%	80%	85%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	68%	50%	59%	50%	40%	59%	40%	59%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	69%	54%	68%	54%	61%	59%	51%	59%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	89%	67%	89%	67%	89%	67%	89%	67%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	89%	69%	89%	69%	81%	69%	89%	69%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	85%	90%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		100%	
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	89%	98%	122%	98%	81%	98%	89%	98%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	67%	48%	57%	48%	86%	55%	80%	55%
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	100%	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	100%	87%	100%	87%
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	4	3	4	3	4	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	70%	70%	97%	75%	83%	75%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:										
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	8%	50%	68%	50%	8%	50%	8%	50%
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	100%	100%	100%	100%	8%	100%	8%	100%
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A
G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	base-line	100%	base-line		N/A	N/A	N/A	
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by GERMANY.										

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## GLOBAL FUND

- The Global Fund disbursed USD 126.17 million in FY14/15. It scores highest from all development partners on the use of national PFM and procurement systems at 100% in FY14/15. The Global Fund's project portfolio consists of four projects, which are fully recorded on budget and accounted for in the GoR reporting systems (indicators A1 and B5) and delivered by GoR agencies (indicator A2).
- All of them are in the health sector as per the Division of Labor and 100% of the Fund's funding goes to it (indicators E1 and E5).
- With regard to predictability, the Fund meets fully the targets for mid-term predictability of project and Sector Budget Support, however short-term predictability (indicator C3) falls short of the target by 8%, however this represents 38% improvement compared to FY13/14.
- The Fund can strengthen its performance in the timely disbursement of Sector Budget Support. In FY14/15, only 23% of the funds were disbursed in the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year and 90% in the second quarter. This is still below the targets of 50% and 100% for indicators G3 and G4, respectively.

Donor code: GFA

**INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - GLOBAL FUND**  
Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Results Area Indicator			FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020	A1	Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	17,980,751,443		44,617,075,024		76,738,565,718		49,794,413,440		83,325,114,069
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	27%	85%	27%	85%	98%	85%	23%	85%	101%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	100%	85%	100%	85%	100%	85%	100%	85%	100%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	2%	50%	100%	50%	100%	59%	100%	59%	100%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	2%	54%	100%	54%	100%	59%	100%	59%	100%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	95%	69%	100%	69%	100%	69%	100%	69%	100%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	23%	90%	100%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		33%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	77%	98%	199%	98%	77%	98%	23%	98%	77%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	N/A - No missions	48%	N/A - No missions	48%	N/A - No missions	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	1
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	100%	70%	100%	75%	100%	75%	100%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	23%
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	23%
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by GLOBAL FUND.

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## JAPAN

- Japan disbursed USD 14 million in FY14/15. It has fully met the targets for two indicators from the DPAF, namely on short-term predictability (indicator C3) and on percentage of its project portfolio allocated to its sectors as per the DoL, i.e. 82% with a target of 75% (indicator E5). Japan works in four sectors out of the three where it is active, i.e. agriculture, water and sanitation and energy. It is a silent partner in the transport and education sectors. The additional sector is social protection.
- There is no change in Japan's performance on the use of country systems. All indicators in this group (B1-B4) continue to reflect 0% in line with the past years' performance.
- Only 37% of Japanese ODA to Rwanda was captured in the national budget for FY14/15 (indicator A1) and only 17% of it was recorded in the GoR systems (indicator B5). Of all Japanese aid to the public sector in Rwanda, only 26% was delivered by GoR agencies (indicator A2).

Donor code: JPN  
**INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - JAPAN**  
 Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Results Area Indicator			FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13 Actual	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020	A1	Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	623,157,720		0		3,360,000,000		17,163,118,000		3,532,994,127
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	0%	85%	0%	85%	10%	85%	55%	85%	10%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	100%	85%	100%	85%	100%	85%	71%	85%	70%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	59%	0%	59%	0%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	54%	0%	54%	0%	59%	0%	59%	0%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	0%	90%	0%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		67%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	0%	98%	0%	98%	162%	98%	174%	98%	98%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	N/A - No missions	48%	N/A - No missions	48%	100%	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	0%	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non-analytic work Reported	87%	Non-analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	84%	70%	82%	75%	95%	75%	82%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by JAPAN.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## THE NETHERLANDS

- The Netherlands disbursed USD 36.6 million to the public sector in Rwanda in FY14/15 of which 96% is on budget (indicator A1). All of these funds were delivered by GoR agencies as first level implementers (indicator A2). However, only 76% of the disbursements made were recorded in the GoR systems (indicator B5), which represents a 6% improvement compared to FY13/14.
- There are significant improvements in the use of country systems in the course of FY14/15. All targets for the relevant indicators (B1-B4) were met and exceeded marking a shift from the past fiscal years. This is either due to some radical changes in the way the portfolio is delivered or more likely due to changes in the self-reporting against the indicators.
- With regard to the DoL, the Netherlands is active in the private sector and youth development, justice, reconciliation and rule of law and in decentralization and governance. It is a silent partner in water and sanitation. In the course of FY14/15, the Netherlands also disbursed in the energy and urbanization and rural settlements sectors, thus it didn't meet the respective targets for indicator E1 and E5 - only 66% of Dutch ODA to the public sector was allocated to its DoL sectors.
- FY14/15 registered an improvement in the short-term predictability of Dutch ODA from 39% in FY13/14 to 86%. This performance is aligned with the previous years' performance (FY10/11-FY12/13). Mid-term predictability for projects and Sector Budget Support meets the targets for indicators C2 and G1.
- With regard to the frontloading of budget support, there were no disbursements made in the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year as in the past, however all Sector Budget Support was disbursed by the second quarter meeting fully the target of indicator G4.

Donor code: NLD

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - NETHERLANDS

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Summary: 9 out of a total of 18 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator			FY10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	18,826,709,005		25,661,757,871		8,782,895,118		21,501,914,701		31,564,051,079
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	81%	85%	92%	85%	81%	85%	94%	85%	96%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	80%	85%	85%	85%	80%	85%	87%	85%	100%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	65%	50%	57%	50%	55%	59%	61%	59%	69%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	67%	54%	58%	54%	56%	59%	67%	59%	98%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	61%	67%	61%	67%	60%	67%	60%	67%	98%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	88%	69%	80%	69%	80%	69%	81%	69%	99%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems	0	85%		85%		90%	70%	90%	70%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		33%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	70%	98%	82%	98%	80%	98%	71%	98%	80%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	80%	48%	100%	48%	100%	55%	100%	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	81%	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	79%	70%	72%	75%	67%	75%	63%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	100%	50%	100%	50%	81%	50%	100%	50%	81%
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	100%	100%	100%	100%	81%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%	100%	100%			N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by NETHERLANDS.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## SWEDEN

- Sweden disbursed USD 12 million for the public sector in Rwanda in FY14/15 under three projects. These were partially captured on budget (42% in FY2014/15, which is below the target 9 (indicator A1). GoR agencies are first level implementers for these projects marking a 100% performance against the 85% target for indicator A2. Only 27% of Swedish ODA to the public sector is captured in the GoR reporting systems down from 71% in FY13/14.
- The use of national PFM and procurement systems is at 82% well above the targets for indicators B1-B4, however compared to the past years there is an 18% decrease in their use.
- In-year predictability did not make an improvement in FY14/15. The disbursements were higher than the commitments and the actual performance stands at 149%.
- Mid-term predictability of projects fully meets the requirements of indicator C3.
- As per the DoL, Sweden is active in the education, private sector and youth development and environment sectors and a silent partner in social protection. It has fully complied with the DoL indicators E1 and E5 with regard to its public sector projects.

Donor code: SWE

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - SWEDEN

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Summary: 6 out of a total of 11 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator			FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	9,343,781,896		1,527,941,720		6,950,081,749		0		3,396,331,420
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	87%	85%	91%	85%	85%	100%	85%	100%	100%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	40%	50%	74%	50%	100%	59%	100%	59%	82%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	50%	54%	69%	54%	100%	59%	100%	59%	82%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	87%	67%	69%	67%	100%	67%	100%	67%	82%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	87%	69%	79%	69%	100%	69%	100%	69%	82%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems	0	85%		85%		90%	11%	90%	27%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		0%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0%	Yes	0%	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	0%	98%	85%	98%	21%	98%	85%	98%	149%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	100%	48%	75%	48%	100%	55%	N/A - No missions	55%	N/A - No missions
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	0%	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - Non analytic work	87%	N/A - Non analytic work
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012		4		0	3	2	3	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	92%	70%	0%	75%	100%	75%	100%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by SWEDEN.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## SWITZERLAND

- Switzerland disbursed USD 10.38 million for the public sector in Rwanda in FY14/15. None was captured in the budget for FY14/15 (indicator A1) similar to the last two fiscal years and none of it was delivered by GoR agencies as first level implementers. Contrary to this, some 42% of it was recorded in the GoR systems (indicator B5). The use of country systems is at 0% across all relevant indicators (B1-B4).
- Short-term predictability meets the target for indicator C3 and represents a 32% increase since the previous fiscal year.
- No progress has been registered in terms of mid-term predictability (indicator C2). Three-year spending forecasts have not been provided to MINECOFIN since the beginning of the DPAF monitoring.
- Indicator E1 has been fully met as it concerns Swiss ODA to the public sector. In accordance with the DoL agreement Switzerland is active in the agriculture, health and energy sectors and a silent partner in water and sanitation. Swiss ODA to the public sector is 100% allocated to these sectors, which exceeds the target for indicator E5 by 25%.

Donor code: CHF

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - SWITZERLAND

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 19-Sep-16.

Summary: 4 out of a total of 12 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) were met.

Results Area Indicator			FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13 Actual	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	638,014,000		2,043,549,500		0		0		0
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	60%	50%	0%	50%	0%	59%	0%	59%	0%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	54%	0%	54%	0%	59%	0%	59%	0%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	0%	90%	0%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		33%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	0%	98%	0%	98%	102%	98%	0%	98%	99%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	N/A - No missions	48%	N/A - No missions	48%	100%	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	0%	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	94%	70%	81%	75%	83%	75%	100%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and DAD data entry completed by SWITZERLAND.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## SOUTH KOREA

- South Korea provided USD 20.33 million in FY14/15. Only 13% of it was recorded on budget (indicator A1) and 15% of it was accounted for in the GoR systems (indicator B5) compared to 0% in the past fiscal year. Some 30% of it was delivered by GoR agencies as first level implementers.
- Regarding the use of national PFM systems, Korea has made an important positive step in their use from 0% in the past fiscal years to 20% in FY14/15. The use of GoR procurement systems has raised from 0% to 24% in the same period.
- Mid-term predictability has also seen a positive evolution in FY14/15 with Korea providing to MINECOFIN its forward-spending plans for the MTEF. Short-term predictability is recording higher disbursements than commitments for a second consecutive year (indicator C3).
- In terms of the DoL, South Korea is active in the education, agriculture and ICT sectors and a silent partner in health and urban and rural settlements. It has also provided assistance in the financial sector thus exceeding the number of DoL sectors by one. However, 85% of Korea's ODA to the public sector is provided to the agreed DoL sectors exceeding by 10% the target for indicator E5.

Donor code: SKO

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - SOUTH KOREA

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 27-Sep-16.

Summary: 3 out of a total of 10 targets established for this donor in 2013(and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator			2007 Baseline	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 11/12 Target	FY 12/13	FY 12/13 Target	FY 13/14	FY 13/14 Target	FY 14/15	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020	A1	Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)			0		0		0				1,710,800,000
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	29%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	85%	10%	
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies		85%	100%	85%	10%	85%	11%	85%	85%	30%	
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	19%	50%	0%	50%	0%	59%	0%	59%	59%	30%	
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	19%	54%	0%	54%	0%	59%	0%	59%	59%	30%	
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	19%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	67%	30%	
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	19%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	69%	30%	
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%		90%	90%	15%	
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level	Not available	baseline	TBD	baseline	TBD		0%				
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Not available	Yes	0%	Yes	0%	Yes	0%	Yes	0%	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	13%	98%	100%	98%	100%	98%	123%	98%	98%	106%	
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	0%	48%	N/A - No missions	48%	N/A - No missions	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)		87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	87%	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	Establish baseline 2008	3	1	3	3	3	1	3	3	4	
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)		70%	N/A	70%	N/A	75%	89%	75%	85%		
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:													
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	Establish baselines 2008	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)		Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.		50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.		100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)		Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)		Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and withing the GoR FY it was planned	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by					SOUTH KOREA								

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## UNITED KINGDOM

- The UK provided USD 61 million to the public sector in Rwanda in FY14/15. There was an over-recording of UK aid in the national budget for FY14/15 (indicator A1). All disbursements were recorded in the GoR systems (indicator B5). GoR institutions were first level implementers for all projects to the GoR (indicator A2). The use of national PFM and procurement systems is also reported to be 100% exceeding the targets for indicators B1-B4.
- Mid-term predictability is on track for both projects and Sector Budget Support (indicators C2 and G1). Short-term predictability (indicator C3) shows that the disbursements exceed the planned commitments by 10%.
- The UK delivered all of its portfolio as per the DoL sectors it has agreed with GoR meeting fully the requirements of indicators E1 and E5.
- Concerning the frontloading of budget support, the UK disbursed 81% of its SBS to the GoR in the first quarter of the fiscal year exceeding the target for indicator G3 and didn't meet the target for disbursing 100% of the committed funds in the second quarter of the year (indicator G4).

Donor code: GBR

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - UNITED KINGDOM

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 27-Sep-16.

Summary: 15 out of a total of 18 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator		FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14 Actual	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual	
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	43,612,340,403		63,675,997,540		64,219,969,237		74,529,146,269		70,720,152,997
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	85%	85%	85%	85%	96%	85%	89%	85%	77%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	78%	85%	98%	85%	100%	85%	97%	85%	100%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	75%	50%	94%	50%	91%	59%	91%	59%	100%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	77%	54%	94%	54%	91%	59%	91%	59%	100%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	77%	67%	94%	67%	91%	67%	91%	67%	100%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	79%	69%	95%	69%	91%	69%	91%	69%	100%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	92%	90%	99%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		100%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	75%	98%	105%	98%	86%	98%	180%	98%	173%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	100%	48%	100%	48%	100%	55%	No missions Reported	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	86%	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	95%	70%	97%	75%	100%	75%	100%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	99%	50%	55%	50%	79%	50%	80%	50%	81%
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	100%	100%	80%	100%	80%	100%	87%	100%	87%
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%	45%	100%		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and DAD data entry completed by UNITED KINGDOM.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## UNITED NATIONS

- Eight UN agencies reported to the Development Assistance Database in FY14/15. These include 1) FAO, 2) IFAD, 3) UNCDF, 4) UNDP, 5) UNFPA, 6) UNICEF, 7) WFP and the One UN Fund. They delivered USD 66 million in FY14/15. The figures below reflect aggregate performance.
- Slightly more than half of the UN agencies' projects (52%) were recorded on budget in FY14/15 (indicator A1) and 63% were delivered by GoR institutions as first level implementers (indicator A2). Only 5% of them were recorded in the GoR reporting systems (indicator B5), which is much lower than the 95% in the past FY.
- The use of national budget execution procedures (indicator B1), national auditing (B2) and financial reporting systems (B3) stand at 19% down from 41% in the previous fiscal year due to the correction of reporting errors in FY14/15. The use of national procurement systems is at 58% below the target of 69% for indicator B4.
- The reporting UN agencies have met the requirements for mid-term predictability of their project support (indicator C1). Short-term predictability stands at 74% below the target of 98% for indicator C3.
- In terms of the DoL, each UN agency has agreed sectors where it can channel its assistance to the public sector. At aggregate level, the performance against indicator E1 is an average of 2 sectors, while the allocation of resources to the DoL sectors is 95% well above the target of 75% for indicator E5.

Donor code: UNO

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - UNITED NATIONS

Final results from FY14/15 round of monitoring as of 27-Sep-16.

Summary: 5 out of a total of 11 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator			FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12 Actual	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	11,974,044,519		4,108,304,348		11,753,254,565		21,761,414,730		23,086,408,422
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	86%	85%	86%	85%	86%	85%	86%	85%	86%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	87%	79%	83%	85%	98%	85%	96%	85%	82%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	76%	50%	77%	50%	52%	59%	47%	59%	77%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	77%	54%	74%	54%	70%	59%	69%	59%	77%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	70%	67%	70%	67%	70%	67%	72%	67%	77%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	72%	69%	86%	69%	74%	69%	90%	69%	70%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	95%	90%	86%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line		base-line	TBD		0%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	87%	98%	80%	98%	87%	98%	87%	98%	79%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	44%	48%	44%	48%	44%	55%	100%	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	87%	87%	100%	87%	100%	87%	80%	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	Refer to the footnote	3	Refer to the footnote	3	2	3	2
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	Refer to the footnote	70%	Refer to the footnote	75%	98%	75%	95%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%		100%	N/A		N/A		N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by UNITED NATIONS.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## UNITED STATES

- The United States delivered USD 163 million in FY14/15. Only 15% of it was captured in the budget (indicator A1). Of all ODA to the public sector, one programme in the agriculture sector is reported as having GoR agency, namely MINAGRI as first level implementer representing 25% of the total (indicator A2). The use of national PFM and procurement systems continues to be minimal at 3-4% (indicators B1-B4). In terms of recording of US aid in the GoR systems (indicator B5), 30% were recorded, which represents a positive increase compared to last year's 2%.
- The requirements of indicator C2 on mid-term predictability continue not to be met. Short-term predictability stands at 92% some 6 percent lower than the target for indicator C3.
- In accordance with the DoL agreement, the US is active in the education, health and private sector and youth development sectors, as well as a silent partner in decentralization and governance and agriculture sectors. The projects recorded in the Development Assistance Database meet the criteria for both DoL indicators E1 and E5.

Donor code: USA

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - UNITED STATES

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 27-Sep-16.

Summary: 5 out of a total of 12 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator		FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12 Actual	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 13/14 Target	FY 14/15 Actual	
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	3,502,114,000		5,803,914,598		17,213,690,184		11,511,474,844		16,104,292,775
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	0.2%	85%	0.0%	85%	0%	85%	0%	85%	0%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	59%	0%	59%	0%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	54%	0%	54%	0%	59%	0%	59%	0%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	0.2%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	0%	90%	0%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		67%		
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	0%	Yes	0%
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	0%	98%	100%	98%	0%	98%	102%	98%	0%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	100%	48%	100%	48%	100%	55%	100%	55%	No missions Reported
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	0%	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	94%	70%	92%	75%	100%	75%	100%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:											
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%		100%	N/A		N/A		N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by UNITED STATES.											

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

## WORLD BANK

- The World Bank provided USD 201 million in FY14/15. More than half of the World Bank's ODA to the public sector in Rwanda was recorded in the national budget for FY14/15 in contrast with 62% in the past fiscal year and all of it was recorded in the national systems (indicator B5).
- The performance against the use of national PFM and procurement systems continues to surpass the targets for indicators B1-B4 similar to the past DPAFs.
- The requirements for meeting the criteria for mid-term predictability for projects and Sector Budget Support (indicators C2 and G1) were met fully in line with past performance.
- In-year predictability falls short of the target for indicator C3 by 18%.
- Regarding the DoL, the World Bank is active in the agriculture, energy, urban and rural settlements sectors and a silent partner in social protection. In the FY14/15, the World Bank worked in two additional sectors, namely transport and education. It has exceeded the target of 75% of its portfolio to be allocated to the DoL sectors by 19%.

Donor code: XWB

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - WORLD BANK

Final results from the 2014/2015 round of monitoring as of 27-Sep-16.

Summary: 10 out of a total of 18 targets established for this donor in 2015 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area	Indicator	FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Target	FY 13/14	FY 14/15 Target	FY 14/15 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020	Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	111,182,709,140		140,977,615,333		110,195,306,998		65,825,925,540		69,475,450,006
	A1 % ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	100%	85%	96%	85%	95%	85%	100%	85%	87%
	A2 % ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies	98%	85%	100%	85%	99%	85%	100%	85%	64%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1 % ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	62%	50%	67%	50%	56%	59%	90%	59%	64%
	B2 % ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	100%	54%	100%	54%	100%	59%	100%	59%	100%
	B3 % ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%	67%	100%
	B4 % ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	72%	69%	86%	69%	85%	69%	69%	69%	82%
	B5 % of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems		85%		85%		90%	95%	90%	100%
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1 % of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level		base-line	TBD	base-line	TBD		89%		
	C2 Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	C3 % ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	85%	98%	76%	98%	89%	98%	112%	98%	80%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1 Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	D2 % of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	47%	48%	100%	48%	47%	55%	100%	55%	No missions Reported
	D4 % of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	Non analytic work Reported	87%	Non analytic work Reported
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1 Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	E5 Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors (Individual DP Level)	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	79%	70%	80%	75%	97%	75%	94%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:										
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1 Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	G2 BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3 % of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%
	G4 % of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.	60%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%
	G5 Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6 Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	G7 % of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and withing the GoR FY it was planned	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%	100%	100%		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and DAD data entry completed by WORLD BANK.										

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

Donor code: CAN

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - CANADA

Final results from the 2011/2012 round of monitoring as of 27-Sep-16.

Summary: 9 out of a total of 12 targets established for this donor in 2012 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator		2007 Baseline	FY09/10	FY 10/11 Target	FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020		Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	0	1,979,028,617		0	0	
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	0%	59%	100%	0%	85%	0%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies			75%	81%	85%	91%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	9%	59%	0%	50%	0%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	13%	9%	60%	0%	54%	70%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	13%	9%	70%	0%	67%	70%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	13%	54%	71%	0%	69%	70%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems					85%	
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level	Not available				baseline	TBD
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	Not available	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	7%	38%	83%	0%	98%	142%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period				To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)		100%	45%	100%	55%	100%
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	100%	50%	84%	0%	86%	N/A - No analytic work
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	Establish baseline 2008	NO DATA	3	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	2
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)				To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	79%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:								
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)	Establish baselines 2008	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)		N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.		N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.		N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (CPAF and SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)		N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)		N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and withing the GoR FY it was planned	N/A		n/a	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%	
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data completed by CANADA.								

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

Donor code: LUX

INDIVIDUAL DONOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK - LUXEMBOURG

Final results from FY11/12 round of monitoring as of 27-Sep-16.

Summary: 5 out of a total of 12 targets established for this donor in 2013 (and for which data were available) where met.

Results Area Indicator			FY09/10	FY 10/11 Target	FY 10/11	FY 11/12 Target	FY 11/12	FY 12/13 Target	FY 12/13 Actual
A. Financing national strategies in support of the MDGs and Vision 2020	A1	Volume of ODA on-budget (RWF)	-		1,519,248,800		0		0
	A1	% ODA recorded in the national budget (PD indicator 3) (ratio inverted where % disb > % budgeted)	0%	100%	53%	85%	0%	85%	80%
	A2	% ODA for GoR sector delivered by GoR agencies		75%	52%	85%	46%	85%	5%
B. Use of national systems and institutions for strengthened ownership, sustainability and reduced transaction costs	B1	% ODA disbursed using GoR budget execution procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	59%	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%
	B2	% ODA disbursed using GoR auditing procedures (PD indic 5a)	0%	60%	0%	54%	0%	54%	0%
	B3	% ODA disbursed using GoR financial reporting systems (PD indic 5a)	0%	70%	0%	67%	0%	67%	0%
	B4	% ODA disbursed using GoR procurement systems (PD indic 5b)	0%	71%	0%	69%	0%	69%	0%
	B5	% of ODA disbursed that are recorded in the GoR systems	0%	0	0	85%		85%	
C. Facilitating longer-term planning and implementation through predictable development financing	C1	% of ODA covered by indicative forward spending plans provided at the country level				Baseline	TBD	Baseline	TBD
	C2	Non-binding indication of future aid to cover at least 3 years ahead, on a rolling basis and according to GoR fiscal year? (Y/N)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	0%	Yes	No
	C3	% ODA delivered in the year for which it was scheduled (PD indic 7)	95%	83%	53%	98%	125%	98%	151%
D. Reduction of transaction costs and strengthening of partnerships through the adoption of harmonised approaches.	D1	Number of missions without GoR authorisation held during Silent Period			To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	0	0	0	0
	D2	% of total missions that are joint (PD indic 10a)	0%	45%	0%	48%	N/A - No missions	48%	100%
	D3	% of donor analytic work that is coordinated (PD indic 10b)	N/A - No analytic work	84%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work	87%	N/A - No analytic work
E. Streamlining delivery at the sector level through effective use of comparative advantage	E1	Average number of sectors of intervention per donor (Aggregate and individual DP level) as per the DoL.	NO DATA	3	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	3	2	3	2
	E5	Percentage of their total aid portfolio for the country that the funding to DoL 3 sectors, GBS and SBS represents (Individual DP Level)			To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	70%	43%	70%	73%
Key Results area G applies only to donors providing budget support to Rwanda:									
G. Budget support provided in a manner that enhances ownership, predictability and reduces transaction costs.	G1	Donors informing the Government of the anticipated volume of budget support, both general and sector, to be provided over the next 3-year MTEF period at least 6 months prior to the beginning of the fiscal year in question? (Y/N)		Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G2	BS donors confirming to the Government within 6 weeks of the completion of the backward looking review the exact amount, including the amount granted under a variable tranche (if applicable) to be disbursed in the next fiscal year? (Y/N)		Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G3	% of BS disbursed within the first quarter of the GoR fiscal year.		50%	N/A	50%	N/A	50%	N/A
	G4	% of BS disbursed within first six months of the GoR fiscal year for which it was scheduled.		100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
	G5	Donor adheres fully to common conditionality (CPAF and SBS MoUs)? (Y/N)		Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G6	Donor adheres fully to partnership framework (BSHG MoU)? (Y/N)		Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A
	G7	% of BS disbursed in or before the month it was scheduled and within the GoR FY it was planned		n/a	To be assessed in DPAF FY2011-2012	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
The final results above are based on MINECOFIN data and the DAD data entry completed by LUXEMBOURG.									

KEY:	Target Met	Met
	Target Not Met	
	Not applicable	

**EXTERNAL FINANCE UNIT MINECOFIN**

[efu@minecofin.gov.rw](mailto:efu@minecofin.gov.rw)

Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning

KN3 Ave, Kigali, Rwanda

Tel +250 252 575 756

Fax +250252577581

[www.minecofin.gov.rw](http://www.minecofin.gov.rw)