



Paris Declaration Survey 2011 In Rwanda

**External Finance Unit
Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning**



Presentation Content

- ▶ Purpose of the Survey
- ▶ Survey Methodology
- ▶ Survey Process in Rwanda



Purpose of the Survey

- ▶ Monitor implementation of commitments made in Paris and Accra – 12 indicators against 2005 baseline
- ▶ Key input to High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness in Busan
- ▶ Rwanda context: Updating the progress made in the first half year of FY 2010/2011
- ▶ Stimulate broad-based dialogue at country level



Survey Methodology

▶ 12 Indicators on Aid Effectiveness

	Indicators	PD Survey	Other Processes
1	National development strategies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2a	Reliable Public Financial Management (PFM) systems		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2b	Reliable Procurement systems		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3	Aid Flows are aligned to national priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
4	Strengthen capacity by co-ordinated support	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
5a	Use of country Public Financial Management systems	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
5b	Use of country procurement systems	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
6	Strengthen capacity by avoiding parallel PIU	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7	Aid is more predictable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
8	Aid is untied		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9	Use of common arrangements or procedures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
10a	Joint missions to the field	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
10b	Joint country analytic work	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
11	Results-oriented frameworks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
12	Mutual accountability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	



Survey Methodology

- ▶ Coverage: PD Survey 2011 to cover Calendar Year 2010 (except for PD Indicator 3)
- ▶ Quantitative Assessment: 9 Indicators assessed based on data submission. This will be done through the Development Assistance Database
- ▶ Qualitative: Short qualitative assessment for each of the 12 indicators
 - ▶ Provide qualitative explanation of the results
 - ▶ Ensure that evidence of progress and challenges beyond the 12 indicators is captured
- ▶ Additional module: Gender Equality and Aid Effectiveness: Self Assessment will be validated through Gender Equality Group



Survey Process - Timeline

Country Launch	Dec 2010	Preparation
Technical training on PD in the DAD	Dec 2010	
Preliminary Donor submission of data through DAD	By 14 Feb 2011	Data collection
Preliminary assessment of data quality and final donor submission of data through DAD and additional narrative information	By 28 Feb 2011	
Data are validated and consolidated		Data consolidation
Qualitative assessment and preparation of country report	By 7 March	
Data and draft submission are reviewed	By mid-March	Validation and dialogue
Discussion on Draft PD Survey Results	DPR (March)	
Submission of data to OECD-DAC	31 March 2011	
Country chapters prepared by OECD and shared with national coordinator	By end May 2011	Analysis and finalisation
Report on Progress since Paris and country Chapters published for HLF-4 (Korea)	September 2011	
HLF on Aid Effectiveness, Busan, South Korea	November 2011	



UN DCF Mutual Accountability Survey

- ▶ Objectives: (1) review progress made in implementing mutual accountability mechanisms; (2) gather the views of key national stakeholders on progress; and (3) identify lessons learned and good practice
- ▶ Questionnaire: To be completed by DPs, Government, Parliaments, and Civil Society Group by **28 February**
- ▶ Consultation/Discussions: DCF Survey focus on inclusive national engagement on mutual accountability dialogue
 - ▶ DPR to provide the fora for discussing results, together with the PD Survey results
- ▶ Survey to feed into UN DCF High-Level Symposium and HLF 4, complimenting the PD Survey, deepening the analysis on Mutual Accountability